

other than through an application by such person for a specific Government financial or other benefit, and is required to be kept secret in order to prevent undue injury to the competitive position of such person; or

(F) may divulge matters required to be kept confidential under other provisions of law or Government regulations.

3. Each witness who is to appear before the Committee or any Subcommittee shall file with the Committee, at least 24 hours in advance of the hearing, a written statement of his testimony in as many copies as the Chairman of the Committee or Subcommittee prescribes.

4. Field hearings of the full Committee, and any Subcommittee thereof, shall be scheduled only when authorized by the Chairman and ranking minority member of the full Committee.

#### II. QUORUMS

1. A majority of members which shall include at least one minority member shall constitute a quorum for official action of the Committee when reporting a bill, resolution, or nomination. Proxies shall not be counted in making a quorum.

2. Eight members shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of all business as may be considered by the Committee, except for the reporting of a bill, resolution, or nomination. Proxies shall not be counted in making a quorum.

3. For the purpose of taking sworn testimony a quorum of the Committee and each Subcommittee thereof, now or hereafter appointed, shall consist of one Senator.

#### III. PROXIES

When a record vote is taken in the Committee on any bill, resolution, amendment, or any other question, a majority of the members being present, a member who is unable to attend the meeting may submit his or her vote by proxy, in writing or by telephone, or through personal instructions.

#### IV. BROADCASTING OF HEARINGS

Public hearings of the full Committee, or any Subcommittee thereof, shall be televised or broadcast only when authorized by the Chairman and the ranking minority member of the full Committee.

#### V. SUBCOMMITTEES

1. Any member of the Committee may sit with any Subcommittee during its hearings or any other meeting but shall not have the authority to vote on any matter before the Subcommittee unless he or she is a Member of such Subcommittee.

2. Subcommittees shall be considered *de novo* whenever there is a change in the chairmanship, and seniority on the particular Subcommittee shall not necessarily apply.

#### VI. CONSIDERATION OF BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

It shall not be in order during a meeting of the Committee to move to proceed to the consideration of any bill or resolution unless the bill or resolution has been filed with the Clerk of the Committee not less than 48 hours in advance of the Committee meeting, in as many copies as the Chairman of the Committee prescribes. This rule may be waived with the concurrence of the Chairman and the ranking minority member of the full Committee.

#### LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2005

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, I rise today to speak about the need for hate

crimes legislation. Each Congress, Senator KENNEDY and I introduce hate crimes legislation that would add new categories to current hate crimes law, sending a signal that violence of any kind is unacceptable in our society. Likewise, each Congress I have come to the floor to highlight a separate hate crime that has occurred in our country.

Last week, a man pleaded guilty to aggravated manslaughter for killing a 15-year-old girl at a bus stop. Sakia Gunn, the victim, and four other girls were standing outside a bus stop when the assailant approached the girls with an invitation to a party. The girls responded that they were lesbians and were not interested in going. The assailant began making homophobic insults at the girls and stabbed Sakia Gunn.

I believe that the Government's first duty is to defend its citizens, to defend them against the harms that come out of hate. The Local Law Enforcement Enhancement Act is a symbol that can become substance. I believe that by passing this legislation and changing current law, we can change hearts and minds as well.

#### ACCOUNTABILITY FOR THE ABUSE OF FOREIGN DETAINEES

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, with this new session of Congress and the President's new term we are presented with new opportunities for change. Congress and the President have embraced these opportunities on many issues—new cabinet officials have been confirmed and a renewed effort is underway by the administration to repair strained international relationships. Unfortunately, on one important front there has been no change: The administration continues to stonewall on the prisoner abuse scandal and Congress continues to abdicate its oversight responsibility on this issue.

Ignoring this problem will not make it go away. Even without a comprehensive, independent investigation into the abuse of detainees, we continue to learn more about this scandal from press reports and the court-ordered release of Government documents in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) litigation.

The latest set of documents made public through the FOIA case reveal not only more incidents of abuse, but also indicate that soldiers in Afghanistan destroyed evidence of detainee mistreatment. One file documents the Army's investigation into the discovery of a compact disk during an office clean-up in Afghanistan in July 2004. The disk contained photos of U.S. soldiers pointing their handguns and rifles at the heads of bound and hooded detainees. Many of the soldiers questioned about these photos said they were "joking around" and that they

wanted to have some good pictures to show their friends back home. If the roles were reversed and it was American POWs being used as photo props with weapons pointed at their heads, we would be rightly outraged by this conduct.

While the photos on this disk are disturbing in their own right, the circumstances surrounding this investigation are even more troubling. Unlike the photos from Abu Ghraib, these photos were not investigated because of an American soldier, in an act of conscious, gave the photos to a superior officer. These new photos were discovered by accident. The subsequent investigation into the photos revealed that soldiers in the unit were told by their superiors to delete similar photos of abuse to prevent their disclosure.

New details have also emerged about one of the infamous Abu Ghraib photos. Many will remember the photo of Manadel al-Jimadi's corpse packed in ice with Specialist Charles Graner posing over the body and giving the "thumbs-up" sign. We have known for months that this was a homicide, but a recent news report provides additional details about al-Jimadi's death. Al-Jimadi, one of the CIA's ghost detainees at Abu Ghraib, was secretly held at the prison. The International Committee of the Red Cross was denied access to him in violation of the Geneva Conventions. Now, press reports indicate that he died in a position known as "Palestinian hanging." This barbaric practice entails cuffing the detainee's hands behind his back and suspending him from the wrists.

President Bush condemned Saddam Hussein for similar practices; the President should be as outraged when these acts are committed by American personnel.

Meanwhile, the media continues to reveal details about the administration's use of extraordinary rendition to transfer terrorism suspects in U.S. custody to the custody of countries where they are likely to be tortured. A recent article in *The New Yorker*, titled "Outsourcing Torture," provides disturbing details about how the administration embraced the use of renditions after the attacks on September 11. The article cites three instances where the U.S. transferred suspected militants from Afghanistan to Uzbekistan. Although the fate of these men is not known, Uzbekistan is known to use interrogation methods such as partially boiling a detainee's hand or arm.

The State Department recently released its annual human rights report. The report criticized several countries for employing interrogation techniques that the State Department considered to be torture, yet are similar to techniques approved in 2002 by Secretary Rumsfeld. How can we criticize these countries for using techniques that our own Defense Secretary approved? How